

Title:	█
Given Name:	█
Surname:	█
Email:	█
Organisation:	Local Policing Forum (L.P.F.): Safer Blanchardstown
Type:	Web
Title of Submission:	Community Perspective
Comments:	<p>This submission is being made on behalf of the LPF it will focus on accountability at the local level and take the view that all policing should be considered local. LPF in consultation with partners organise and facilitate local public meetings attended by senior Gardaí. These meetings provide an opportunity for local residents to meet with Gardaí to discuss issues relating to how their area is policed. The effectiveness of interventions /initiatives can be openly discussed, challenged and changed to reflect local needs. Such open discussions provide local accountability of local Gardaí but also provide an opportunity for Gardaí to challenge levels of participation/information exchange by local community. As such accountability becomes a two way process that allows Gardaí to continuously and proactively engage with communities. Also residents get to know and build relationships with their local Gardaí which in turn increases engagement and information exchange. Such exchanges allow Gardaí identify and respond to the changing nature of crime as early as possible. Community Gardaí are the backbone of this process and at one time everybody from senior citizens to school children knew the name of their Community Garda, the reduction in their numbers is a constant issue being raised at all LPF meetings. Community Garda regularly seen out on patrol allows for continuous proactive engagement with local communities and allows Garda to efficiently respond to the needs of communities. The success and Future of Policing in Ireland depends on collaborative engagement of An Garda Síochána with local communities. It has been well proven that the most effective way of achieving such collaboration is through community engagement. With this in mind Safer Blanchardstown respectfully submits that a) Community Policing be prioritised b) Community Garda numbers be dramatically increased c) Local Policing Fora be rolled out as planned in all Local Drug and Alcohol Task Force Areas.</p>

Melting the Iceberg of Fear



A Collective Response to Anti-social behaviour, drugs misuse, criminal activity and drug debt intimidation

Jennings P
2014

Contents

Acknowledgements.....3

Chairperson’s note.....4

Executive Summary5

Melting the Iceberg of Intimidation.....7

Understanding the Issue.....11

Lower Order.....14

Middle Order.....26

Higher Order.....33

Invest to Save.....36

Conclusion.....39

Recommendations.....40

Bibliography.....42

Board Membership.....44

Appendices.....46

Chairpersons note

In response to the research findings in An Overview of Community Safety in Blanchardstown Rapid Areas (2010) and to continued reports of drug debt intimidation from a range of partners, Safer Blanchardstown's own public meetings and from other sources, the management committee of Safer Blanchardstown decided that this was an issue that required investigation. The board requested that the coordinator research and report back on his finding on the phenomenon of drug debt intimidation.

This report is the result of research carried out into drug debt intimidation as experienced by residents in one local area of Blanchardstown: Mulhuddart; the fact that intimidation/drug debt intimidation is a national and international phenomenon and is **not** limited to Mulhuddart should be noted.

The following report with its analysis of a problem in a local context and the emphasis which the research places on the implications for social development in all aspects of the findings can be seen as a valuable step in facilitating discourse in the area of drug policy and community safety.

I look forward to the positive contribution of this report in acting as a guide to the services, agencies, families, individuals and communities in the Safer Blanchardstown area in particular and the wider community in general.

I would like to acknowledge and commend all who helped and assisted in the course of this research and in the construction of this report.

Finally I would like to thank Philip Jennings, Coordinator, Safer Blanchardstown; author of this report for getting the whole process to this stage.

Phillip Keegan

Chairperson Safer Blanchardstown

Executive Summary

The drugs issue is very complex and any study of its various aspects highlights the fact that trying to resolve an individual component, such as intimidation, in isolation to all other components can be extremely difficult and limits the effectiveness of many existing initiatives.

This study represents the entire drugs issue as an Iceberg with the various components being located in one of three levels or orders: lower middle and higher order. The reason for not using levels as an indicator of progression through the Iceberg is that if behaviour is categorised as “low level” then it tends to become trivialised and not really worthy of consideration and often its important interrelated implications for later more serious behaviours is not recognised and opportunities to positively intervene are lost.

Also inter-linking the lower middle and higher orders shows that behaviour develops along a continuum and as such it may be predicted how one type of behaviour can develop into another e.g. experimentation with drugs often leads to recreational use of drugs which can lead to problematic drug use which may eventually lead to drug addiction. Therefore targeting initiatives at experimentation/recreational use, if successful, will have a corresponding positive effect on problematic/addictive drug use and related criminal and anti-social activity.

By using the Iceberg model we can also predict likely consequences of behaviours in terms of effects on education, crime, incarceration, the person, family, community and wider society to challenge and “nudge” individuals in a more positive direction that will benefit themselves, their family, their community and wider society

The Iceberg model allows us to examine current behaviour, while at the same time keeping a sharp eye on later behaviour. This will allow for accurate design and measurement of interventions and outcomes. The Iceberg model also provides a tool for drilling down to the genesis of behaviours which may lie outside the drugs issue.

For example the advantaged of the disadvantaged V's the disadvantaged of the disadvantaged may assist in explaining why some people living in disadvantaged areas become involved in crime while others do not, thereby highlighting possible ways to positively intervene and support those living in such areas.

Finally the Iceberg model provides for more efficient and effective collaborative working between agencies and groups. For example serious crime units of An Garda Síochána, community departments of Local Authority, Youth services and schools focusing on the relationship between lower order anti-social behaviour and higher order serious crime to design and target interventions aimed at pull factors that attract lower order offenders to become higher order offenders.

Philip Jennings: Author

Melting the Iceberg

1. Introduction

The actual experience of being a victim of crime can be a very frightening experience but in areas suffering from persistent anti-social behaviour, drug dealing and associated criminal activity the fear of becoming a victim of crime can be extremely intimidating. Some individuals in such areas, generally referred to as disadvantaged areas, do indeed engage in direct intimidation while others play on the fear of vulnerable residents. Older siblings and even some parents either direct or do little to prevent young children engaging in intimidation.

Intimidation is a serious, insidious and coercive behaviour used by individual/s on others to force them to do something against their will. This behaviour affects victims, members of their family, their friends and the wider community in a variety of negative ways. We all have some idea of intimidation even without any direct personal experience. But we need to understand exactly what intimidation is, who intimidates, where it occurs, how it evolves and when a person is likely to be a victim if we are to tackle the issues of anti-social behaviour, crime, drug related crime and intimidation etc. in any significant way.

One way of understanding what intimidation is and the various effects intimidation has on a person, is to break the word down into its component parts i.e. to intimidate is to browbeat, bulldoze, cow, bully and bludgeon. These words all mean to frighten into submission, compliance, or agreement but each act has its own particular affect on an individual:

- ❖ **To intimidate** implies the presence of a fear-inducing force or threat of force on a person, family or property.
- ❖ **Browbeat** suggests the persistent use of highhanded, disdainful, or domineering tactics:
- ❖ **Bulldoze** is to remove all spirit of opposition and develop feelings of complete helplessness and isolation
- ❖ **To Cow** a person is to instil an abject state of timidity, demoralisation and of living in fear as a result of physical/mental abuse, threat or harassment.
- ❖ **Bullies** intimidate through loud, overbearing, undermining insidious or threatening behaviours
- ❖ **Bludgeon** is the use of violent and forceful methods to insure compliance of another person against their will

(<http://www.thefreedictionary.com/intimidation>)

1.1 Objective

This paper aims to:

- **(a)** Highlight and predict who is likely to engage in anti-social behaviour, intimidation, drug related crime and crime in general **(b)** who is likely to be a victim **(c)** what are the likely causal factors leading to such behaviours **(d)** suggest possible interventions **(e)** inform future research.
- To assist partner agencies and the wider community to better respond to issues of anti-social behaviour, intimidation, drug related crime and crime in general

1.2 Methodology

The first and highly important aspect of the methodology consisted of face to face interviews with senior/outreach staff from the following agencies:

- Mulhuddart Community Youth Project (MCYP)
- Ladyswell National School
- Mulhuddart/Corduff Community Drug Team (M/CCDT)
- Local General Practitioner
- Blanchardstown Local Drugs Task Force Family Support Network
- Family Support, HSE
- Wellview Family Resource Centre
- Blanchardstown Garda Drugs Unit
- Local Community Development Project (LCDP)
- Public Health Nurse's
- Primary Care Team
- Social Workers

Question:

Each interviewee was asked the question: "The report *An Overview of Community Safety in Blanchardstown RAPID Areas 2010* showed that intimidation/harassment is an issue in Mulhuddart, from your experience, how does intimidation take place, what form/type of intimidation occurs and whom in your opinion are the main offenders & victims?"

Interviews & Information Gathering:

Interviews were conducted by Coordinator (Local Policing Forum) Safer Blanchardstown Philip Jennings from August to October 2011. Responses were recorded, collated and de-personalised. Responses were also cross referenced with the minutes of Safer Blanchardstown Public meetings. Since 2007 there have been a total of 9 public meetings held in Mulhuddart with an average attendance of 50 residents¹.

The secondary mode of research was:

- An Overview of Community Safety in Blanchardstown RAPID Areas 2010
- Wider literature review
- Desk top trawl of internet published literature

Responses and comments from interviewees/public meetings are reproduced in the text boxes found throughout this report

The stimulus for this report is the factual evidence contained in *An Overview of Community Safety in Blanchardstown RAPID Areas 2010*. This evidence clearly shows that residents of Mulhuddart report that they suffer or are exposed to a number of incidents of intimidation/harassment that are significantly higher than residents in other parts of Blanchardstown.

Excerpts from '*An Overview of Community Safety in Blanchardstown RAPID Areas*²'

Victim of Crime or anti-social behaviour in the last 12 months

	Mulhuddart ³		Blakestown / Mountview		Corduff		Total	
Harassment/ Intimidation	35	52.2%	20	13.5%	13	20.0%	68	24.3 %

Crimes or anti-social behaviour **witnessed** by respondents in the last 12 months

	Mulhuddart ²		Blakestown / Mountview		Corduff		Total	
Harassment/ Intimidation	57	82.6%	39	32.8%	35	54.7%	131	52 %

¹ (See www.saferblanchardstown.com for full minutes of all public meetings)

² *An Overview of Community Safety in Blanchardstown RAPID Areas 2010*, tables 5.1 & 5.2 (Q.21 & Q22, Pg 26 & 27)

³ Indicates significant difference from other areas of $p \leq 0.01$ A result is deemed statistically significant if such a result would be expected to arise simply by chance only in rare circumstances

Although intimidation is a widespread, national and international phenomenon that affects many citizens in almost every State, there has been little or no substantial study into the phenomenon of drug or community related intimidation. The prevailing literature relates mainly to intimidation of witnesses, business related intimidation and to the physical effects of intimidation (*extensive internet trawl November 2011*).

“There is a general sense of fear and intimidation in the Wellview area.

Residents are afraid to pass (known) gang members on the street.

This is a result of constant anti-social and criminal behaviour associated with particular individuals. These individuals constantly threaten residents, steal and damage cars, rob from people’s homes, go around the estate drunk/stoned and provoke/start/take part in drunken brawls”

Comments from interviewees

Published articles in the main, relate to how intimidation is taking place, e.g. the physical manifestation of drug debt, threats, beatings, property damage etc. They do not begin to determine how intimidation develops and persists in a particular community or society as a whole. Examples of such publications would be Jonathan Hadley Witness Intimidation and Protection Practices (2006) National Family Support Network; *Intimidation of Families; March 2009* and; Drugnet Ireland reported on *Debt-related Intimidation of Drug Users and their Families* (May 2011).

In the Drugnet article Johnny Connolly of the Health Research Board highlighted “*the limited knowledge base in this area and the general failure of research and other information sources historically to properly reflect the local impact of drug-related crime and intimidation on the individuals, families and communities most affected.*” He cited a recent Limerick study by Hourigan et al (see page 12) to illustrate the way in which gangs can employ a variety of strategies, from serious violence to verbal abuse and vandalism by young children, to instil fear and impose territorial control on communities. Recommendations in the Limerick study tended to be on a grander scale relating to wider national policy level changes rather than directed at ground level interventions.

1.3 Geographical scope

The geographical scope of this study included the Electoral Divisions of Tyrrelstown, with a population of 2922 and Mulhuddart with a population of 3866 (Census Ireland 011)

2. Understanding the issue

2.1 Choice

In order to understand and predict whether or not an individual may become embroiled in gang membership and intimidation or become a victim we need to look at the issue in its entirety. In other words we cannot randomly locate intimidation in any one activity, sector, age or group in the community. Nor can we isolate drug debt intimidation from the whole complex drugs issue and hope to develop an effective intervention. Instead we must view intimidation as a dynamic part of the individual and their community and as a single aspect of the complex drugs issue. For intimidation to be effective within a community a collection of beliefs must exist that supports its development and continuation.

One crucial factor worth bearing in mind when examining intimidation is the concept of choice. Very often a person's lifestyle is reasoned in terms of personal and individual choice. But we do not have a choice in who our parents are, the family we will be born into, the supports that will be provided by our parents, the home we are raised in, the street we live on or the school we will attend. Yet each of these factors has a significant character forming and forceful influence on our early personal development and will have a dramatic impact on many of our future lifestyle decisions. We must also factor in a person's psychological characteristics which may dictate how they view and interpret their lived environment. However, we do not remain children all our lives and at some point we must begin to accept responsibility for our actions and life direction. These life stages, early childhood, early adulthood and adulthood, and their impact on each other are crucial considerations in terms of targeted responses, supports and interventions.

Filling the void

Some studies catalogue in detail the physical, emotional and financial effects that intimidation has on individuals, families or the local community, and don't focus their attention on gang formation.

Into the Abyss by Mike Carlyle PhD and Gardner, 1992 who state that "*Gangs come into existence and flourish because the needs of the young people in a neighbourhood or culture or family are not being met. The gang, in essence, fills the void.*"

Garry 1996 note that "*Two friends playing hooky may not fit the image most people have of a gang, but they have the potential of forming one. Left alone, the behaviour of the two boys may turn to other violations of law (i.e., loitering, disturbing the peace, being a public nuisance, theft, experimenting with drugs) and, were they to do so; more people would see them as a gang.*"

By the two examples given above we can see that gang membership may serve a purpose i.e. filling of a void in a young person's life.

2.2: Advantaged of the Disadvantaged & Disadvantaged of the Disadvantaged (Hourigan et al 2011)

Understanding Limerick is an ethnographic three year sociological study into intimidation, fear and organised criminal activity in Limerick City carried out by Hourigan et al from 2007 to 2010. This study provides the most recent and relevant insight into the strategic use of behaviours such as violence, verbal abuse and vandalism by children to control communities.

Although Limerick is a large city with an ancient history and Mulhuddart is a relatively new estate on the edge of Blanchardstown, a suburb of Dublin, similarities between the residents of estates in Limerick and residents of Mulhuddart can be drawn. Indeed the clear distinction made by Hourigan between the “advantaged of the disadvantaged” and the “disadvantaged of the disadvantaged” illuminates clear and distinct aspects of living in a disadvantaged area.

“There is an absolute correlation between those who have left school early and those who are involved in drug/alcohol misuse, anti-social behaviour, criminal activity and intimidation, just look at the history”

Comments from interviewees

Advantaged of disadvantaged

In general, according to Hourigan, the advantaged of the disadvantaged would, even in single parent households, have stable family structures, enjoy extended family support and most likely be in, or have access to, some form of employment. They would be well clued in to other supports available in the community. For example members of this group would be sure to include their children in available youth and sports groups, ensure their children went to and stayed in school for as long as possible. In short they would have a measure of motivation and expectation of upward mobility for themselves and their children. In areas of disadvantage adult members of the advantaged of the disadvantaged would be more likely to be active citizens in their community, volunteer in local clubs/groups and be found on resident associations and estate management committees.

Disadvantaged of disadvantaged

The “disadvantaged of the disadvantaged” on the other hand, in general, live in unstable family structures, experience addictions and invariably suffer from a lack of resources and money as a result. Such families tend to be unstable, their extended family, although important, would be a source of more harm than good through the stress caused by drug and alcohol misuse, violence and child neglect. Another significant factor for some of the children of the disadvantaged of the disadvantaged is the absence of a stable male role model in the family. Although the use of alcohol and drugs may provide the parents with some short term relief from stress, it steals their emotional and psychological energy, leaving little for child rearing responsibilities.

Dunn et al found that addicted parents are three times more likely to neglect their children than those with no serious addiction. Further these parents, in the main, would have been early school leavers and therefore may not recognise the value of education. As a result their children are more likely to begin to fall behind at a very early stage of the educational cycle.

The disadvantaged of the disadvantaged can constitute a small number of people who may be known to most residents and service providers in an area. It is this cohort that may be seen to cause the majority of trouble in some areas and who absorb the majority of agency resources. It is important that the distinction between the advantaged of the disadvantaged and the disadvantaged of the disadvantaged is recognised as a first step to mapping social exclusion and importantly, in identifying the existence of the *Resource Pool* from which future gang members, drug misusers and intimidators may be drawn and the existing pool of positive role models in a community.

“Intimidation in Mulhuddart can best be described as physical, psychological and emotional. Cars are attacked & house windows broken mainly at night time”.

Responses and comments from interviewees

2.3 Iceberg analogy

If we conceptualise and visualise the problem of intimidation, anti-social behaviour, drugs misuse etc. as an *Iceberg* we can more easily predictively map potential future behaviour and develop more efficient and effective targeted interventions. In order to reduce the size of an iceberg the least effective approach would be to try to remove a piece from the top (head) as the removed section will soon grow back (be replaced from below) and the iceberg would soon gain its original size. But if a slice is removed from the bottom, no matter how small, the iceberg will sink, its *overall size* will diminish and it will not be easily able to replace the removed mass. Another way of reducing the overall size of an iceberg is to apply heat to the sloping sides which will cause the iceberg to melt, shrink in size and sink lower in the water. Again this method reduces the opportunity for the iceberg to replenish its lost mass. By applying heat simultaneously with slicing, the combined action would be even more effective.

3. Lower Order

3.1 Iceberg of intimidation

Figure 1 is a diagrammatical representation of the various aspects of intimidation and is presented in the form of an iceberg and in a lower, middle and higher order of intimidation. Graphically it shows the typical progression or ascending order/pathway of development that a young child who, without support or intervention, may be socialised into becoming a perpetrator of intimidation within his/her community.



This structure could well have been presented as low, middle and high levels of intimidation but it was felt that to use the word "low level" to describe behaviours e.g. intimidation by young children would somehow diminish the severity of the affects such intimidation has on victims and the wider community and its potential to develop into something much more sinister. It may also dilute the fact that there is a real ascending order to intimidation locally and, possibly, to intimidation in general.

3.2 Making the connections

Structured interviews coupled with resident's contributions at Safer Blanchardstown public meetings and at other fora confirmed that interrelated intimidation takes place in Mulhuddart and that intimidation at the lower order has a direct link to intimidation which takes place at the middle and, possibly, the higher orders.

Those in the lower order are young children bullying, assaulting, stealing, vandalising and spreading fear within the community, often directed to do so by older siblings and friends. Children may be directed to intimidate those who are thought to be talking to the Gardaí or Local Authority. This intimidation can take the form of breaking of windows, property damage, name calling, racial slurs and harassment of children in the street etc.

These incidents can have negative impacts on the community through the spreading of fear; feelings of being helpless and isolated, reduced quality of life, and negative mental and physical health of residents, what the World Health Organisation refers to as the "Social determinants of health".

This intimidation is very debilitating with no let up, older boys move on and the younger ones take over".

"Younger brothers and sisters are encouraged to get involved with this kind of intimidation

Comment from interviewees

"Some young 15/16 year olds target vulnerable women in the area and demand and get €20 or €30 from them.

"If offenders think you gave names to Gardaí your house will be targeted"

"Residents are scared to give names of youth to Gardaí because of intimidation"

"After complaining to youths parents, hall door had paint smeared on it".

In conducting these interviews it was found that resident's confidence in the authorities to do anything about their plight is also adversely affected by persistent anti-social behaviour. Some residents are fearful of leaving their homes in the evening due to gangs drinking in the area who often demand cigarettes and insist that residents go to the off licence for them.

These young people are supported in their behaviour through: (a) encouragement by older siblings, extended family and friends from within the middle/higher order; (b) a lack of parental control, boundaries, structure or direction in their lives.

"People just want to leave the estate"

"Older (17 & 18 year olds) youths are forming gangs with younger children in the area"

"Evidence on the ground (Parslickstown) is that the 17 year olds are selling drugs to 11 & 12 year olds who are in turn dealing to their peers."

"Residents, especially older residents, will not go to the shops once it gets dark"

"Non-Irish national residents constantly suffer from racial comments and name calling when leaving their homes"

3.3 Indicators & Predictors

Significant indicators/risk factors or predictors for those who are more likely than others to become involved in alcohol/drug misuse, intimidation and other criminal behaviour are; low educational attainment, low school attendance and early exclusion from school, coupled with a lack of appropriate parental support leading to early school leaving.

Early School Leaving

These risk factors have been identified in a wide range of national and international studies. For example an independent report⁴ compiled by the European Network of Experts in Social Sciences of Education & Training (NESSE) in 2010 looked at Early School Leaving (ESL) in terms of definitions, who, why, consequences and possibilities. The report drew on European and International literature to examine the causes and consequences and possible remedies for ESL in Europe.

What is worrying is that the 10/11 year old brother of the main offenders is now becoming more and more involved”

“Young children 14, to 16 year olds are running wild around the estates with nobody taking responsibility for them

Although it is not the purpose of this paper to examine Early School Leaving in particular the NESSE’s trawl of the literature supports the notion that ESL is clearly linked to the subject matter of this report. The NESSE report refers to ESL as a process rather than an event with the process involving both the early school leaver and their personal histories *“One of the main findings of the report is in very many cases the route to ESL begins before the child goes to school”*. Consistent findings in this research⁵ are that Early School Leavers come from families characterised by:

- lack of supervision,
- leaving children to make decisions for themselves,
- poor aspirations regarding their children’s schooling
- less engagement with their children’s schooling,
- negative reactions to school underachievement and
- low level of verbal interaction between mothers and children.

Among the individual consequences for ESL noted in the NESSE report are Teenage Pregnancy, Crime, Violence, Alcohol, Drug abuse, and Suicide were found to be significantly higher among Early School Leavers. The report also noted that ESL also perpetuates its own cycle of failure as under-educated parents continue to produce children who we know will themselves be at greater risk of ESL. It is from this group of perpetuated Early School Leavers that the Disadvantaged of the Disadvantaged is likely to be generated.

⁴ commissioned by the European Commission’s Directorate-General for Education and Culture compiled by Network of Experts in Social Science of Education and Training (NESSE)

⁵ (Astone and McLanahan,1991; Ekstrom et al., 1986; Rumberger et al., 1990; Hess and Holloway, 1985)

Supportive Families

In contrast families also provide supportive protective factors such as a stable environment where parents show interest in their child's education, parents who want an extended school career for their children, parents who read to their children and visit school, speak to teachers and who take children on joint activities were found to be supportive factors for children remaining longer in school.

"The parents of these young children are more often in denial and will never admit that their son/daughter is involved in anything"

"There is a lack of parental control of young children; parents need to be held responsible for their children's actions"

As such, parents in disadvantaged areas who encourage and participate in supportive protective actions can be said to form the Advantaged of the Disadvantaged.

More local research supports this view in that the Steering Group on the National Substance Misuse Strategy, February 2012 states that *"there is clear evidence that early school leaving increases the risk of harmful alcohol consumption"*. More worryingly research conducted on behalf on the Aislinn Adolescent Addiction Treatment Centre (2009) which interviewed 1000

teenagers over 15 years of age found that alcohol was a gateway to illicit drug use for adolescents.

"Unlike other parents the parents of these children will always defend their children's behaviour"

Trutz Haase published a comprehensive report for the *National Advisory Committee on Drugs (NACD)* of early school leavers and school attending students which demonstrated that substance use among early school leavers is significantly higher than among school attending students.

Parental Control

Another important factor highlighted by this research is that contact with parents is associated with lower propensity to use substances. In other words a lack of parental contact (control, support or direction) coupled with problematic school attendance may be considered to be among the primary predictors of risk factors of future problems.

An important pull factor for some children from the disadvantaged of the disadvantaged families is that being a gang member can provide a welcome relief from emotional, physical, and financial poverty. Gang membership can and does provide access to peer group status; respect and financial reward (see Carlyle 1992). These children may over time learn they can say and do what they like to adult neighbours (through fear of reprisals) without any concern of retribution from their parents.

Neighbours learn that it is pointless to approach the parents of such children as no corrective action will be taken, indeed such approaches may even invite some kind of retribution.

It must be noted that not every resident living in Mulhuddart has experienced intimidation/harassment or incidents of anti-social behaviour. Areas within areas exist and this fact can determine whether or not a person may become a victim. Becoming a victim or not can depend very much on who your neighbours are.

“One family that I deal with has lived for many years in the Dromheath area and has never had any cause for complaint. They put this down to living in a quieter part of the estate”

In the course of conducting these interviews a significant fear factor that neighbours must take into account was identified, which is who a child is related to (*see also Hourigan et al*). As a consequence of challenging the parents of a child causing trouble homes are likely to be attacked, thus initiating intimidation. The law states that *“It shall be conclusively presumed that no child under the age of 12 years is capable of committing an offence”*⁶. Vulnerable adult victims of this type of behaviour must and do learn to cope in this environment mainly through silence and keeping quiet.

Some young children live on the margin of gang membership and are drawn into the gang despite parental best efforts. Some parents struggle to maintain control over their children but, reasonably, they cannot watch them every time they leave the house


One mother will always ensure that her son attends groups at the proper times and likes to get a call when he is finished. She keeps a tight control on him as much as she can but when he is around the estate with his friends he is as bad as any of them”

⁶ 52.—(1) Children’s Act 2001

3.4 Socialisation

Taken from *Tolka River Rehabilitation Project 2007* figure 2 (opposite) graphically illustrates the vortex of learned behaviour which can allow for the socialisation of an individual, family or community into living and coping with intimidation /harassment on a daily basis.

Fortunately this illustration also indicates an opportunity for breaking the cycle of learned behaviour with direct accurate and timely intervention.



Identifying Risk Factors

Systems need to be put in place that allow for the identification, prediction, flagging and proactive targeting of young people in respect to key indicators/risk/predictive factors of future involvement in substance use, anti-social behaviour and intimidation. Key risk factors may include evidence of parental neglect, low school attendance or becoming involved in lower order intimidation etc.

The Irish Penal Reform Trust (IPRT) in their position paper *Shifting Focus: From Criminal Justice to Social Justice* quoted from a Department of Justice Equality and Law Reform report which called for "an increased emphasis to be placed on preventative measures and early interventions which should form a key component of any youth justice system". Prevention and early intervention through the provision of supports and services to children, families and communities are well recognised as important steps to preventing crime and *breaking the cycle of poverty, crime and imprisonment* "Early intervention should be a fundamental principle and a key target of all crime prevention Strategies."

Tusla the Child and Family Agency established in January 2014, now the dedicated State agency responsible for improving wellbeing and outcomes for children, developed the Meitheal programme in partnership with local agencies. Meitheal is a collaboration of all agencies working with young children and their families in order to provide them with appropriate, targeted, timely interventions and wrap around supports.

Tackling risk factors

Given the link between Early School Leaving and drug and alcohol misuse and crime one of the stated aims of Tusla/Meitheal should be the reduction of anti-social behaviour and intimidation through improvements in low school attendance, low educational attainment, reductions in school suspensions, and reductions in expulsions from school, increasing numbers of students completing second level and progressing on to third level education. In other words tackling the “early indicators/risk factors/predictors” of problem behaviour by providing timely, targeted, multi-agency interventions aimed at breaking the cycle of poverty crime and imprisonment. Or put more simply taking a slice from the bottom of the Iceberg.

3.5 Drawback

One drawback to the Tusla/Meitheal approach is the concept of consent. Consent is really about the power to choose. For example the power of parents to choose what is best for their children or whether or not to cooperate with Tusla/Meitheal. However as individuals and parents, we seldom knowingly or willingly make a choice that introduces stress or strain into our daily lives. This position is also true for some who are termed *hard to reach* parents/families because for them to engage fully with Tusla/Meitheal would possibly mean introducing stress or strain through having to change or challenge their current behaviour, beliefs etc.

“The hard to reach families, the ones we really need to be working with, will never give consent for multi agency support. They pick and choose which agencies they will allow into their homes. In this way they maintain control over the situation which allows the cycle (of bad behaviour) to continue.

This term *hard to reach* also needs to be challenged as it infers that it is difficult for support agencies to make contact or communicate with such parents/families.

In the course of interviews for this paper it was reported that in reality these parents/families would have a steady stream of agencies in and out of their lives. It was stated *“they know how to play the system and will allow one service in (the home) and not another”* and *“if they are challenged in any meaningful way you (service) will be shown the door”* in other words these families are well known, are well serviced by agencies but remain in control by cherry picking services through the power to choose to allow or refuse access via the medium of consent. If parents refuse Meitheal/Tusla goes away.

Disadvantaged of the Disadvantaged

A more appropriate term for hard to reach families would be the Disadvantaged of the Disadvantaged as this description better allows us to view not only a families circumstances but we can also visualise their position on the Iceberg which in turn allow us to predict the possible future consequences, for individuals and communities, of their behaviour along a continuum. This then allows for a rational paternalistic (*see below*) approach in developing relevant interventions that *judges behaviour and not the individual or family*.

The disadvantaged of the disadvantaged may choose to remain in a particular situation because to change can introduce stress through challenging the status quo, and one way

of doing this is by using their power of consent. Some parents can and do choose which agencies they will consent to allow into their homes and which ones they will refuse entry to. This cherry-picking of services has two possible affects 1) children in the home receive a reduced service 2) agencies may be fearful of being too challenging in case they be directed to leave the home. As stated earlier, young children have no choice in the parents/home/area/school/supports they are born into and are therefore powerless to decide/choose in relation to their own future.

The Theory of Nudge

The notion that all parents, including the disadvantaged of the disadvantaged parents/families, should have the power to choose to refuse consent in cases where it is evident that a multiagency approach would yield benefits for the parents, their children and the wider community needs to be challenged. One possible and very effective way of presenting such a challenge to hard to reach parents is through the application of "Nudge". The theory of Nudge⁷ is based on the ideas of free choice and beneficial outcomes for people and the concept that people tend to think instinctively, emotionally, and subjectively, rather than logically, rationally and objectively. Also, according to Nudge, when change is necessary and if choices to be made seem difficult or complex people will revert to the status quo (default). The way in which choices are built into an intervention is crucial in developing a Nudge (the term used in the theory is Choice Architecture)

To use nudge theory to affect a change in behaviour also requires a paternalistic attitude (as opposed to a dictatorial or protectionist attitude) on behalf of agencies/authorities. In other words the authorities /agencies would take the (paternalistic) view that an individual or family would benefit from a multi-agency intervention. Using the principles of nudge theory an intervention would be designed and presented to parents and not wait until consent to intervene was granted. This intervention would not be imposed on individuals or families but all choices/options would be clearly explained to the parents/individual. Options, or available choices, would include all of the supports available to the individual/family and, most importantly, also include the consequences of continuing along a particular behavioural path.

For example a child not attending school on a regular basis; what supports the child, parents or family needs would first be ascertained, but so would all consequences/outcomes for not accepting or engaging with these supports e.g. NESSE stated consequences of ESL Pregnancy, Crime, Violence, Alcohol, Drug abuse, and Suicide. In this way parents are presented with a full range of choices & outcomes (both good and bad) constructed in such a way (choice architecture) so as to encourage (nudge) parents to make choices that will benefit themselves, their children, the local community and society at large.

The aim is to allow parents to choose what is best for them and their children based on the full range of options available. In this way they are nudged to choose those options that will present them with the least resistance (hassle, trouble, conflict from school, police, courts etc.). By "leveraging" consent in this way young children and parents will

⁷ (R.H. Thaler C.R. Sunstein. 2008)

receive necessary supports, the community will experience an increase in quality of life and Local Authority/Gardaí/Probation/Prison Service will have reduced costs further down the line.

3.6 Active Citizens

Importantly, in considering opportunities for breaking the cycle we must not lose sight of the active citizenship engaged in by the advantaged of the disadvantaged. This group also provide very positive role models within any community. For example they volunteer on residents associations and estate management groups and are also found on a range of programmes supported by Fingal County Council. They volunteer as adult leaders of youth groups and as community representatives on Boards such as Safer Blanchardstown and others. Their activities are extremely important to the development of workable “bottom-up” interventions in an area and significantly as positive role models and through the provision and promotion of the more universal social supports needed in a community.

Small numbers

Unfortunately this group may not be as large as partner agencies would like, or as large as it possibly might be. A survey carried out in 2008⁸ across 43 police forces in the UK suggests that only 3% of the community say they are already involved (active) with police and local authority in tackling antisocial behaviour and crime (see figure 3 below). A further 3% would like to become involved which suggests there is some scope for expansion of the group of active citizens. 16% would like more of a say in their area, 58% would like to be kept informed but don't wish to get involved any further while 18% are not interested as long as they can see that the job is being done. The real scope exists not only in the further 3% who would like to get involved but in the 16% who would like more of a say and who would be more likely to support the work of the 3% to 6% of active citizens.


This idea of the existence of an small though active 3% to 6% of citizens can easily be recognised by community development workers, youth workers, football teams etc. who have stated many times that they have to rely very heavily on “the usual group of suspects” to volunteer for a range of jobs and activities within communities. The existence of the 16% would also be recognised as those who regularly provide assistance and support when community clean-ups, events and community days are organised.

⁸ Ipsos Mori 'Policing anti-social behaviour: The public perspective' 2008

Figure 3

Do policies and messages to partners reflect the public point of view?

Q Which of these statements comes closest to your own attitude towards how the Police and Local Council tackle anti-social behavior and crime in this area?



Base: 1,896 GB adults, 18+. Sept 2008. Source: Ipsos MORI

Expanding involvement

Every effort should be made to expand the group of active citizens, or to significantly increase local support of their activities by developing hands on opportunities for residents to effectively address the problems which exist in their area.

An example of hands on opportunity would be the family fun & community days organised by the Local Authority in association with other agencies and local residents. In order to increase Active Citizens sense of value and quality of involvement Problem Solving Training should be provided to as many individuals and groups as possible.

Problem solving

Problem Solving is emerging as the primary method for identifying the underlying causes of issues that exist in an area/location identified by residents. Problem Solving is a multi-agency approach that involves residents at every stage of developing an intervention that does not contravene the statutory responsibilities of particular agencies. Behaviours which begin to develop at the lower order and which (initially) affects members of a local community, can be identified and the problem solving approach applied to develop a local initiative to respond to and resolve the issue. The important aspect of problem solving is that it not only includes all relevant local agencies in responding to the issue at hand, it also dictates that community representatives are involved at each stage in developing any response that directly effects their local community. Complex behaviours that are beyond the scope of the problem solving group can be referred on to appropriate agencies. By using a problem solving approach residents will invest in their own community's development and future.

Broken Window Theory

Oscar Newman, in his 1972 book, *Defensible Space* proposes that people will take care of and protect spaces they feel they have an investment in. In 1982 James Wilson and George Kelling brought this idea further in their article titled *Broken Windows*, since known as Broken Window Theory. Many people will have heard of broken window theory and may even be able to relate its central message: if a broken window is left unrepaired in a building then the likelihood is that more and more windows in the building will get broken. The building will then be viewed as derelict which will attract further antisocial behaviour or squatters.

The idea is that without intervention small disorders such as broken windows, litter, graffiti and abandoned cars etc. signal that authorities/owners have no real regard for a particular area or space. These signal disorders then attract increasingly serious crimes e.g. from litter to loitering to drug dealing to mugging to murder. Broken window theory suggests that by addressing the smaller infractions such as litter, graffiti and loitering, the authorities can change the *context* in which crime is committed. By collaboratively addressing this *context* in which crime is committed through involving active citizens in interventions developed through the application of problem solving will not only reduce crime and allow residents invest in their own areas it also has the potential to increase the number of active citizens within local areas.

The role of youth services, football, boxing and fishing clubs etc. all have an important role to play in providing a variety of activities to divert young people and opportunities for citizens to volunteer for those activities in which they have an expertise or interest. Volunteers for these vital activities which help to form healthy communities provide essential positive role models for young people. Likewise the role of the Local Authority in providing facilities in which these activities takes place cannot be overstated when thinking of changing the *context* in which crime is committed or put it another way; taking a slice from the bottom of the Iceberg.

Lower Order - Recommendations

Recommendation 1

All agencies working in Mulhuddart should support Meitheal D15.

The principle aim of the Meitheal D15 should be (through the provision of appropriate supports) an increase in educational attainment, the reduction in the number of young people with a low school attendance, a decrease in the number of young people at risk of suspension/exclusion from school or who have come to the attention of Local Authority/Gardaí in relation to anti-social behaviour harassment or intimidation.

Recommendation 2

An interagency group be set up to discuss the possibility of leveraging consent from “hard to reach families” through challenging such families using the principles of Nudge Theory.

Recommendation 3


Members of local residents associations, active citizens, other interested residents and agency staff be invited to participate in Problem Solving training and facilitated and supported in applying such training to the resolution of problems in the area as identified by residents/agencies.

Recommendation 4

That agencies work collaboratively to develop hands on initiatives aimed at maximising the involvement of the existing pool of active citizens, increase local support for the work of this group and, where possible, increase their number. This will directly and positively affect the lived experiences and quality of life for all the residents of Mulhuddart

The above recommendations are aimed at taking a slice from the bottom of the iceberg of intimidation - see figure 3 below.

Iceberg of intimidation



4. Middle Order

The middle order is where the most prolific offenders of drug debt intimidation and of all crime types operate. Members of this group are most likely, but not exclusively, to be unemployed, engaged in misusing drugs and alcohol possibly from an early age, to be early school leavers and to come from areas of disadvantage. For example the Irish Penal Reform Trust (IPRT) published the following statistics in January 2014:

- The majority of Irish prisoners have never sat a State exam and over half left school before the age of 15.
- In 2008, of the 520 prisoners who enrolled in the school at Mountjoy Prison, 20% could not read or write and 30% could only sign their names
- Prisoners in Ireland are 25 times more likely to come from (and return to) a seriously deprived area.(www.iprt.ie)

Addiction & Crime

It is often stated that the majority of crime is drug related, indeed Connolly (2006) states that *"Most Irish drug users who receive sentences of imprisonment are punished for offences committed as a consequence of their drug use, such as theft from the person, burglary, larceny or prostitution."* Connolly further states that research studies have identified this clear link between some forms of illicit drug use and crime; findings which are consistent throughout criminological literature and that the link between drug use, addiction and crime has been established by international and Irish research.

The National Council for Alcoholism and Drug Dependence (NCADD) in the USA 2014 states on their website *"It is a fact that the connection between alcohol, drugs and crime is clear. And, so is the connection between alcohol and drug addiction and crime."*

Further the National Centre on Addiction and Substance Abuse, cited a report in the Nation's Health (April 2012) titled "Behind Bars II, Substance Abuse and America's Prison Population" found that of the 2.3 million U.S. inmates, 1.5 million suffer from substance abuse addiction, another 458,000 inmates either had histories of substance abuse, were under the influence of alcohol or other drugs at the time of committing their crimes; committed their offenses to get money to buy drugs or were incarcerated for an alcohol or drug violation. Combined, the two groups make up 85 percent of the U.S. prison population, according to the report,

In The National Catholic Review (USA) 2010 Joseph A. Califano Jr states that Crime and drugs (including alcohol) are related. Those who committed a crime to get money to buy drugs average seven prior arrests, the highest rate in the prison system. The second highest, inmates with six prior arrests, includes those with a history of alcohol treatment and those who were under the influence of alcohol or other drugs at the time of their crime. Califano asserts that society's treatment of drug-using criminals needs to *deal with drug use and crime together* i.e. a more holistic approach to the drugs issue.

Professor Ian O'Donnell et al of the School of Law UCD in their paper *Where Prisoners Come From (2007)* states that "the most disadvantaged urban communities seem to produce the offenders who are imprisoned for violence, robbery, drug and property offences.

Using the Iceberg analogy, those involved in burglaries, theft and other criminal activity in order to feed a chaotic drugs habit reside in the middle order. The middle order is

In the past I had to pay my child's drug debts to dealers, but the dealers were mainly drug users themselves that I knew personally. They would have been childhood friends of my children. The only way out was to pay, in some cases the dealers themselves would die and the debt would die with them. In my experience the intimidation was mainly between drug users that were known to each other and their families."

where most of the drug related intimidation takes place and from which stems the criminal activity that financially supports those caught up in addiction. This position is supported by responses made by interviewees.

NB* one must not forget that the middle order draws its members from the lower order and so any reduction in the numbers operating at this middle order will inevitably reduce demand for replacements from the lower order, which in turn will reduce the resource pool from which such demand is satisfied.

Drug users invariably "deal" drugs to each other to make a profit to feed their own habit. In other words many of the local

'dealers' are local drug users wheeling and dealing in the middle order. The profit and loss aspect is where the most violence or threat of violence stems from with the main emphasis being on the loss side. If drugs are lost, used, stolen or seized by Gardaí they will still have to be paid for. Innocent immediate and extended family members of those who owe money for drugs are most often the target as the drug user will not have money with which to repay the debt. This is where local communities also become victims, especially the more vulnerable members. Indeed some drug users have been known to intimidate their own family members into paying for daily drugs habit.

"Some drug users intimidate their parents, siblings and even grandparents into paying for their drugs. These family members are terrified and have nowhere to go for help"

Drug debts of €500 or less

The Family Support Network report *Intimidation of Families: March 2009* which found "62.5% of respondents stated that intimidation originated from a drug debt worth €500 or less." This sum may indicate an upper level of the financial scale at which the middle order in disadvantaged areas operates. In turn this sum may also reflect a lower average income ceiling of this cohort and why it is that such a relatively small sum would cause such hardship for the parents and extended families of those being intimidated.

"In the main people contact the (drug) service for a positive outcome, help or to begin a course. They are in general fed up with their chaotic drug taking lifestyle and wish to change. They do not contact the service if they are experiencing or have experienced intimidation in the past; they wish to begin to leave all that behind them

However some forms of intimidation do get spoken about in the course of key work with clients, especially intimidation by drug users of drug users."

Interviewee Comment

4.1 Breaking the cycle

The middle order is a distinct and identifiable group which exists and are deeply involved in drug use and the criminal activity that can go hand in hand with such behaviour. Members of this group would, again, be known to local residents and to service providers working with older youths and adults. This group may also have been negatively impacted upon by early childhood environment and experiences but are now, possibly, at an age where they need to take, or to be given an opportunity or "nudged" to take, responsibility for their own behaviour.

The lives and behaviour of human beings are governed to some degree by external forces which may be compared to flowing water in that we will almost always choose to travel along a path of least resistance wherever possible. Members of the middle order can find

"For drug users trying to address their drug misuse habit there is the problem of their past catching up on them. It's true that many will have a history full of negative experiences with the Gardaí. But when they are really trying the fact that the Gardaí will regularly stop & search and generally hassle clients makes it difficult for them to remain motivated. Comments from interviewees

it easier to remain in their addictive pattern of behaviour, until something dramatic happens, (hitting rock bottom for instance) which makes addressing their habit easier than not addressing their habit. The challenge for agencies working on the ground is to develop strategies to construct barriers to remaining with the flow of addictive behaviour and develop strategies, "nudges", of directive pathways to behavioural change. Put more simply agencies need to work collaboratively to create nudge/s to change that will benefit the individual, their family, their community and wider society. One possible means of constructing such

nudge/s is to develop a Prolific & Priority Offenders group.

4.2 Prolific & Priority Offenders

Evidence of success

Prolific & Priority Offender (PPO) groups are by no means a new and novel approach to dealing with aspects of community safety. For example PPO's are in widespread use in England, Wales, Scotland, Canada, USA and Australia. The UK home office web site describes PPO's as "*An approach that effectively manages offenders who are identified as committing a disproportionate amount of crime and harm in their local communities.*" PPO schemes have been successful in reducing re-offending rates among targeted offenders. The latest data shows that during 2009-10, there was an overall reduction of 39 per cent in recorded re-convictions across England and Wales among 10,635 prolific and other priority offenders, compared to the year before. Areas of disadvantage in Ireland with high levels of crime and anti-social behaviour would benefit from the introduction of a PPO programme which in turn would result in improved quality of life for residents, excellent PR for agencies and significant cost savings to the state.

Identifying where prisoners come from

In 2000 the Irish Prison Service introduced computer based recording system known as PRIS which allowed academics for the first time to conduct a comprehensive study of where prisoners come from, what is known about the community contexts from which they are drawn and to which they will likely return.

In health research it is common to use the concept of a Standardised Mortality Ratio (SMR). This is the ratio of the number of events observed in a population to the number that would be expected if the population had the same distribution as a standard or reference population, such as the whole country. For this study the concept has been adapted to give a Standardised Prisoner Ratio (SPR) for an Electoral Division (ED) namely the observed number of prisoners divided by the expected number given the age-redistribution of the ED. O'Donnell et al used Standardised Prisoner Ratio (SPR) to identify a number of Dublin suburbs as having high SPR's, one of which is Mulhuddart.

O'Donnell et al reported that in the most deprived areas of the country there were 145.9 prisoners per 10,000 populations in contrast to 6.3 in the least deprived areas. The scale of this difference is startling and demonstrates clearly that it is the areas already marked by serious disadvantage that must bear the brunt of the social problems that accompany released prisoners. Fagan (2004) asserts that "*Incarceration begets more incarceration, and incarceration also begets more crime, which in turn invites more aggressive enforcement, which then resupplies incarceration.*"

As stated above, according to UK Home Office figures, interventions such as PPO provides the tool and opportunity to break this crime- incarceration- crime cycle.

In short the PPO group works to:

- Identify group/s of offenders that meets the threshold for prolific and priority offender
- Name individuals within this group
- Identify what agencies (if any) individuals are engaging with
- Identify what agencies individuals should be engaging with and promote same
- Colour code individuals in relation to engagement with PPO vs. engagement in criminal activity:
 - 1. Green:** Low Level offending coupled with high level of engagement = high level of support
 - 2. Orange:** Medium Level offending coupled with medium level of engagement= continued support available/provided plus visit from Community Garda & warning given
 - 3. Red:** High Level offending coupled with none or low level engagement = Target, arrest and convict
- Identification of those who are likely to become PPOs in the future
- Prevent and deter this group
- Work with individual/s while in prison in order to bring individual/s back to Green upon release.

At the outset the PPO process would be explained fully to targeted PPO individuals or families (those who meet the threshold for inclusion on the PPO). For example a chaotic drug user who has committed a given number of burglaries and other thefts to feed their habit. This person would be offered the full range of drugs and other services available e.g. key worker, counsellor, education programme, methadone programme etc. along with any family supports necessary. Importantly they must accept the full programme of supports offered, no cherry picking...all supports or none

Also, and in line with Nudge Theory, they would be informed of the consequences of not engaging fully in the PPO programme. For example if they choose not to engage with the programme they will be targeted by Gardaí and put under strict surveillance. If and when caught they would be arrested and brought speedily to court. The fact that all the above supports were offered to the offender and that she/he refused to avail of them would be related to the Judge.

Upon arrival in court our fictional offender would be in a dilemma, the judge may say to the offender that he has no choice but to sentence the offender to prison at this stage, based on refusal to accept supports offered. However if the offender would like to reconsider the offer to engage with the PPO then sentence may be postponed for a period. If the offender then decides he/she would like to engage with the PPO the court could ask for monthly updates to insure offender's engagement is sincere.

The above scenario may provide a number of significant positive outcomes:

- 1) The offender will not be able to plead drug addiction and needing help as part of her/his defence.
- 2) Making the judge aware of the aims of the PPO will effectively bring the judicial system on board as an essential partner in *nudging* offenders to make choices that benefits themselves, their families, community and wider society.
- 3) More efficient use of scarce resources
- 4) Offenders may be diverted from prison services thus saving costs associated with incarceration.
- 5) Offenders availing of supports may be diverted away from drug misuse and crime thereby providing cost savings to Gardaí, Court Service, Prison Service and other agencies which in turn deliver's improved quality of life for citizen.

In other words the offender must take on personal responsibility for their future and continued behaviour based on the path of least resistance. In this way we can visualise the PPO process as applying heat to the sides of the iceberg of intimidation causing it to melt and further reduce in size. Usually prolific offenders are made up of a small number of offenders in a community who are responsible for a high proportion of criminal activity and anti-social behaviour. The intention of PPO is to "target harden" such groups using a multi agency carrot and stick approach with full disclosure of information between the agencies on the PPO.

The aim of the PPO group is to more effectively catch, bring to justice and rehabilitate the core group of prolific offenders who are responsible for a disproportionate amount of crime using a prevent and deter, catch and convict, and rehabilitate and resettle model.


4.3 Advantage of PPO

The PPO approach allows for the more streamlining, effective and efficient application of scarce resources across a wide range of agencies. Those needing help and willing to engage with workers on the ground can be identified and appropriate help accurately put in place, reducing the need for unnecessary duplication of resources. More effective information gathering (in relation to behaviour) will happen as there are more eyes on the ground (agency workers) reporting on progress, if any, to PPO group.

Gardaí on the PPO will know who is trying to address behaviour by attending programmes, back in education etc. and can concentrate on *actual* offenders. This allows for accurate direction of resources and provides support for the work of key workers and those participants really trying to address the causal factors associated with their criminal activity i.e. drugs misuse. Also we know that the courts must remain impartial but the introduction of a PPO programme enables the courts to maintain their impartiality while as the same time supporting local interventions aimed at keeping offenders out of prison.

At present individuals who seek out services in Mulhuddart receive support that is delivered in a timely and professional manner. It also seems clear from the evidence supplied by workers on the ground and family support group members, that persons in the middle order may, and sometimes do, eventually come to their senses, seek help and

address their behaviour. If this point of awareness can be speeded up by applying heat (nudges) to the side of the Iceberg of Intimidation (middle order) through the operation of a PPO group then the size of the iceberg and the appeal for those in the lower order to join the middle order will be reduced appreciably. In turn this will improve the quality of life for residents, boost community confidence and improve community relations for a wide range of agencies.



Middle Order - Recommendation

Recommendation 5

A multi agency Prolific Priority Offender Group be set up and piloted in Mulhuddart/ D15 area with the express intention of intervening to reduce the number of individuals engaged in or likely to become engaged in prolific offending in the Mulhuddart/D15 area.

5. Higher Order

5.1 The real money

The higher order is where the serious players reside and those criminal gangs and gang member who actively defend and try to expand their share of the drugs market. It is this group who are responsible for the serious intimidation and murders commonly understood to be associated with drug trafficking, drug supply and drug dealing. This is the level at which the real money comes to rest. It is imperative if we wish to make any significant impact upon the issue of serious drug related intimidation at the higher order, that we tackle the drugs issue in a holistic manner that takes account of the interrelated and progressive nature of behaviours such as recreational drug use, drugs misuse and intimidation. We must also simultaneously focus on the interrelationship between higher, middle and lower orders and the criminality associated with the real money.

In order to understand where the real money is generated it is necessary to briefly examine the drugs market. The drugs market is made up essentially of two distinct end user groups (a) regular users (middle order) and (b) casual, occasional or recreational users (lower order). Regular users would be those people who use drugs every day and would be a dependable steady source of income for the supplier that keeps the "business" going. Recreational users would be those who use drugs occasionally, at the weekends or while out partying.


Emerging patterns

As a consequence of conducting these interviews a pattern emerged that allowed us to draw an analogy with the local pub. People who go to the pub daily or on a regular basis enable the publican to pay the rent, heat, light and staff wage bills. It's at the weekend however; when the casual drinkers come out that the publican makes the real money. Likewise with the drugs suppliers, it's at the weekend when the casual recreational users order their small bit of hash/cocaine etc. that the real money is made and it is this real money that attracts the serious violence. It is essential that the link between casual recreational drug use of a small bit of hash, the real money, the devastating serious violence and the lower/middle order intimidation is made.

Importantly if we wish to tackle this issue effectively consideration must be taken of the devastatingly real fear that residents feel with regards to drugs activities.

This fear is exacerbated when a drug related murder is committed (most probably over money owed), whether in the locality or nationally. As a consequence of the interrelated nature of intimidation local residents will attribute a relationship between those at the lower orders and those at the higher order even where this is not warranted. This fear will be picked up by those in the lower order whose swagger and power to intimidate will increase. It is this descending fear that imposes the most corrosive aspect of intimidation on an individual, family or community.....**Silence.**

Figure 4



Through systematically reducing the size of the iceberg by the recommended interventions above the higher order will become incrementally exposed. Those at the top of the iceberg who depend on silence and fear to maintain their position will come into clearer focus for the Gardaí. If we further consider all the chaotic activity at the lower and middle orders as noise, then as the noise from below is reduced we provide an opportunity for the Gardaí to listen more intently to the hushed movements from those at the higher order (top of the iceberg).

5.2 Community Support

“The most difficult aspect of intimidation is one of secrecy and silence. If a person is too frightened or terrified of reporting intimidation/harassment then this hidden aspect is the most corrosive and leads to isolation and mental health problems”.

Responses and comments from interviewees

The law is very black and white in regards to giving evidence relating to drug debt intimidation. Persons being intimidated must identify their tormentor to Gardaí who must in turn pass on the name of complainant to the offender’s solicitor. Understandably victims are not prepared to do this as they know the court process is long, their name and address will be given to the perpetrator along with the nature of the complaint, this leaves people very vulnerable and serves, in the main, to guarantee their silence.

One way of overcoming this obstacle is to confidentially give the information to the Gardaí, without committing to going to court. Gardaí will not be able to arrest those engaged in drug debt intimidation for the offence of intimidation at this point, but will be able to support victims of intimidation through target hardening of the individuals involved. As a result Gardaí become aware of the person/s involved in intimidation whom they may not have been aware of before. Such information can be used as part of Garda intelligence gathering to build a case against particular individuals. They can be watched and other information may become available that will allow Gardaí to search this persons home. This may lead to drugs, stolen goods or other contraband being found which in turn could lead to a prosecution in court and a jail sentence which may provide some relief to victims of intimidation.

Victims however are very fearful of meeting the Gardaí even in private for fear of being found out. But victims may approach people working in the community they themselves trust and to whom they relate their experience of intimidation. For example trusted people may be Drug Team Workers, Teachers, Social Worker, Doctors, Priests, Community Development Worker or Support Worker...essentially any person working in the community or even a close friend. Not all of these people will know how or to whom they should or could pass this information on to.

Community Information Network

A Community Information Network (CIN) to become a conduit of information relating to intimidation/crime to the Gardaí should be developed and promoted among all those working in the community. This CIN would greatly support the initiative recently developed by Gardaí and the National Family Support Network (Appendix 1) that now has in place a designated senior Garda at the rank of Inspector to coordinate reports of drug debt intimidation at a local level. This would allow residents to speak in confidence to people they know and trust and Gardaí can be confident that the information being passed on is as accurate as possible. The aim of this Community Information Network would be to serve as a conduit of relevant information from the community to the Gardaí, to build confidence in residents and encourage victims of drug debt intimidation to meet privately with the Gardaí.

It must be noted that the Gardaí meet regularly with the Local Authority to discuss and exchange information on issues relating to anti-social behaviour, intimidation and harassment. Therefore the Community Information Network will enhance, benefit and support existing information gathering initiatives of both the Local Authority and the Gardaí.

Safer Blanchardstown in partnership with the Local Drug & Alcohol Task Force has developed a localised version of the Garda/National Family Support Networks information leaflet (see appendix2). 3000 of these leaflets have been distributed across Dublin 15 to date.

Higher Order - Recommendations

Recommendation 6

Develop an initiative to expose the link between casual recreational drug use, the real money and the resulting devastating serious violence. This may be achieved through an awareness raising campaign coupled with exposure of "the real money link" by all public representatives and Gardaí, in written and spoken media, as and when the opportunity arises.

Result

Safer Blanchardstown has since developed a Think Before You Buy awareness raising campaign in response to recommendation 6, highlighting the link between recreational drug use and serious criminal activity. This campaign is being heavily promoted across the island of Ireland, has been adopted by the Police Service of Northern Ireland and is available to view and download at: www.thinkbeforeyoubuy.ie

7. Invest To Save

7.1 Agency Reward

The invest-to-save approach yields gains some years away and is therefore not so attractive to programme/agency managers in these financially stringent times. But early intervention and prevention, in general, is always more cost-effective than responding to incidents when they happen. It has been estimated that for every €1 spent on intervention a further €10 is saved in social and economic costs of problematic activity in the future.

Consider the comments of Justice Michael Reilly Inspector of Prisons when he stated that “prisons should not be the sole preserve of the disadvantaged” (*White Paper on Crime Consultation May 2010*) and O’Donnell (1997) who identified that; Irish prisons *are* clogged up with members of the lower socio-economic groups. So given that the vast majority of the prisoner population comes from areas of disadvantage then it is not so difficult to work out the potential cost benefit to the public purse by investing to save in specific targeted interventions.

Cost of imprisonment

Nationally, the prisoner population stood at 4,276 in May 2010 at a cost of €92,717 per prisoner per annum (since reduced to €77,500 approx), which equates to approximately €400 million per year. As stated, in the main, the prisoner population is drawn from areas of disadvantage. In light of the evidence presented in this paper we could drill down further and argue that in reality the prisoner population is drawn from an even smaller cohort; from among the disadvantaged of the disadvantaged.

Savings to the state

It has often been stated that 5% of the people from disadvantaged areas cause 80%+ of all the trouble and absorb the majority of available resources in particular areas. If so we can assume that the prisoner population is drawn from this 5%. If by the targeted implementation of the interventions recommended above, the offending 5% in any area were reduced to 4% this would translate into a 1/5th or 20% reduction in offending behaviour in that area. At this stage, it is quite difficult to accurately attribute the financial savings that may be made in any specific area if such a reduction was achieved. If the same outcome could be affected nationally, then the savings to the state could be estimated (based on 2010 estimates) as approximately €80m to the Irish Prison Service alone (€400m divided by 5). Savings to court, Gardaí, Local authority and other agencies costs would be in addition to this substantial sum.

In addition the increased public confidence in agencies to address issues of concern to citizens and the consequent increase in their quality of life is financially un-quantifiable but of immense value to residence, citizens and agencies alike.

By the development and implementation of the various interventions mentioned throughout this report we may be able to accurately localise and measure, to some extent at least, outcomes from specific interventions. Additionally, by using Problem Solving to identify issues of concern that residents wish agencies to tackle, it may be possible to more effectively cost interventions and measure financial benefits by using existing tools. For example, the UK Home Office figures for certain crime types can be used to convert performance into savings, see Figure 5 below. This will allow participating agencies to convert staff time engaged on particular interventions into real financial cost benefits.

Figure 5

Crime type	Cost per crime £	Cost per crime €
Violence Against The Person	22,800	26,909
Homicide	1,320,000	1,558,000
Wounding	21,000	24,783
Serious Wounding	156,000	184,096
Common Assault	648	764.
Sexual Offences	22,800	26,912
Robbery	5,640	6,657
Burglary	2,340	2,762
Theft	720	849
Vehicle theft	890	1,050
Criminal Damage	612	722
Burglary non-dwelling	3,240	3,824
Theft from shop	120	141
Robbery or till snatch	6,000	7,081

Source; UK Home Office Research, Development and Statistics Unit 2010

Recommendation 7

Tools should be developed to allocate projected savings to the Criminal Justice System to projects operating local initiatives and interventions. Initially projected savings may have to be measured against reductions in indicators of future problem/criminal activity. Over time savings can be measured against actual reductions in criminal activity and prisoner numbers.

Recommendation 8

Given the limited research that could be accessed in the writing of this report, further research, possibly at a national level, into drug debt intimidation needs to take place in order to better inform workers, local communities and wider Irish society on how to best tackle this devastating behaviour.

Conclusion

The English language may be inadequate in its description of the range of debilitating behaviours it describes under the umbrella of "intimidation". It is even more so when drug debt is added to the mix and brings a whole new meaning to the word "intimidation".

As has been shown in this study intimidation, anti-social behaviour, drugs misuse, criminal activity, drug debt intimidation and murder are aspects of an extremely complex difficult to deal with drugs issue. But when such activities are seen as behaviours which develop along a continuum and which have a genesis not only in early childhood environment but also in the wider socialisation process in a community, then we can visualise ways in which the issue can be tackled more effectively at different life stages.

Visualising the entire drugs issue as an Iceberg helps us to understand that seemingly overwhelming aspects of the issue can be more effectively tackled through reducing the flow of individuals from lower order behaviour to the middle and higher order behaviours.

The ordering helps us to focus our attention on the various stages of the issue/behaviour, to recognise the link between each stage and to highlight how it is less efficient to deal with a particular aspect of the drugs issue while ignoring the resource pool of future offenders.

The opportunity of drilling down provided by recognising the distinction between the advantaged of the disadvantaged and the disadvantaged of the disadvantaged can help in identifying this resource pool from which future offenders may develop. We can then visualise that by using predictive mapping and the application of effective interventions the drugs/crime issue can be significantly addressed.

The deliberate ordering in terms of lower, middle and higher order further allows us to understand the role of particular programmes/agencies at the different stages and allow us to link interventions through collaborative working by the application of a collective aim of reducing particular behaviour e.g. drug debt intimidation, by focusing on preceding indicators or predictors.

This collective aim can be achieved through the implementation of the various recommendations in this report and the use of other proven interventions. What is also important to note is that through collaborative working and by using existing measurement tools potentially substantial cost savings can be measured and applied to the various programmes/agencies working under the proposed initiatives.

Taking a slice from the bottom of the iceberg of intimidation at the same time as applying heat to the sides will produce a substantial reduction in the overall size of the iceberg. This reduction in size will shrink the size of the lower order resource pool, the demand for replacements from the lower orders to the higher orders, which will in turn, serve to expose those at the highest order to more intensive scrutiny. By developing a coordinated collective response through the operation of the Iceberg Model, we will demonstrate the effectiveness of "Working together for a Safer Community"

Recommendations

Recommendation 1

All agencies working in Mulhuddart should support Meitheal D15.

The principle aim of the Meitheal D15 should be (through the provision of appropriate supports) to increase educational attainment, the reduction in the number of young people with a low school attendance, a decrease in the number of young people at risk of suspension/exclusion from school or who have come to the attention of Fingal County Council/Gardaí in relation to anti-social behaviour harassment or intimidation.

Recommendation 2

An interagency group be set up to discuss the possibility of leveraging consent from "hard to reach families" through challenging such families using the principles of Nudge Theory.

Recommendation 3

Members of local residents associations, active citizens, other interested residents and agency staff be invited to participate in Garda Problem Solving training and facilitated and supported in applying such training to the resolution of problems in the area as identified by residents/agencies.

Recommendation 4

That agencies work collaboratively to develop hands on initiatives aimed at maximising the involvement of the existing pool of active citizens, increase local support for the work of this group and, where possible, increase their number.

Recommendation 5

A multi-agency Prolific Priority Offender Group be set up and piloted in Mulhuddart/ D15 area with the express intention of intervening to reduce the number of individuals engaged in or likely to become engaged in prolific offending in the Mulhuddart/D15 area.

Recommendation 6

Develop an initiative to expose the link between casual recreational drug use, the real money and the resulting devastating serious violence. This may be achieved through an awareness raising campaign coupled with exposure of "the real money link" by all public representatives and Gardaí, in written and spoken media, as and when the opportunity arises. (For result visit www.thinkbeforeyoubuy.ie)

Recommendation 7

Tools be developed to allocate projected savings to the Criminal Justice System to projects operating local initiatives and interventions. Initially projected savings may have to be measured against reductions in indicators/risk factors of future problem/criminal activity. Over time savings can be measured against actual reductions in criminal activity and prisoner numbers.

Recommendation 8

Given the limited research that could be accessed in the writing of this report further research, possibly at a national level, into drug debt intimidation needs to take place in order to better inform workers, local communities and wider Irish society on how best to tackle this devastating behaviour.

Bibliography

An Overview of Community Safety in Blanchardstown RAPID Areas, October 2010

National Family Support Network; Intimidation of Families, March 2009 Edition

Connolly. J (1012) Drugnet Ireland; *Debt-related intimidation of drug users and their families*

Carlyle. M; *Into The Abyss*; 2002; { Online access 14-1-2012}

Gardner. S; *Street Gangs in America*, Franklin Watts, New York NY, 1992. p83.

Garry, E. M., *Truancy: First Step to a Lifetime of Problems*, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Washington, D.C., October, 1996.

Hourigan, N, et al, *Understanding Limerick, Social Exclusion and Change*, Cork University Press, 2011, ISBN-978-185918- 457-8

Dunn. M. et al, *Origins and Consequences of Child Neglect in Substance Abuse Families*, Clinical Psychology Review, 22, 7 (2002), pp. 1063-90

Professor Roger Doyle; NESSE; <http://www.nesse.fr/nesse/activities/reports/early-school-leaving-report> {Accessed on 02-09-2014}

Aislinn Adolescent Addiction Centre (2009) <http://www.aislinn.ie/news-and-events/detail/aislinn-alcohol-survey-gateway-drug/> {Accessed on 11-4-2012}

Haase T. *Risk and Protection Factors for Substance Use Among Young People*. National Advisory Committee on Drugs (NACD) 2010

The Irish Penal Reform Trust (IRPT) *Shifting Focus: From Criminal Justice to Social Justice*

R.H. Thaler C.R. Sunstein; *Nudge: Improving Decisions About Health, Wealth, and Happiness*, (2008)

Policing anti-social behaviour: The public perspective : http://www.ipsos-mori.com/DownloadPublication/1378_sri-crime-hmic-policing-anti-social-behaviour-september-2010.pdf

O. Newman: *Defensible Space: Defensible Space; Crime Prevention Through Urban Design* (1972)

Wilson, James Q; Kelling, George L (Mar 1982) "Broken Windows: The police and neighborhood safety" *The Atlantic* (1982)

Irish Penal Reform Trust: January (2014) www.iprt.ie {accessed on 28-08-2014}

Connolly. J. (2006) Drugs and crime in Ireland. *Drugnet Ireland*, Issue 18, summer 2006. pp. 1-3

The National Council for Alcoholism and Drug Dependence (NCADD) in the USA (2014) <https://ncadd.org/for-youth/drugs-and-crime/230-alcohol-drugs-and-crime> {Accessed on 23-08-2014}

National Centre on Addiction and Substance Abuse: The Nation's Health April 2010 vol. 40 no. 3 E11; "Behind Bars II, Substance Abuse and America's Prison population," www.casacolumbia.org {Accessed on 23-08-2014}

Criminally Unjust: America: The National Catholic Review 2010 Joseph A. Califano Jr
<http://americamagazine.org/node/149760>

Murphy, C. *From Justice to Welfare- The Case for Investment in Prevention and early intervention* (2010)

National Crime Council; *Tackling the Underlying Causes of Crime; A Partnership Approach. A Consultation Paper (2002) (Dublin stationary Office)*

O'Donnell, I. Tlejeur, C. Hughes, N. Baumeer, E. Kelly, A; *When prisoners go home: Punishment, Social Deprivation and the Geography of Reintegration*; Irish Criminal Law Journal- Volume 17, No. 4, 2007

O'Leary, M. *Intimidation of Families*; March 2009. Family Support Network

<http://www.homeoffice.gov.uk/crime/reducing-reoffending/ppo/> {Accessed 12-6-2012}

O'Donnell, I. *Irish Criminal Law Journal- Volume 7, No 2, 1997*

Fagan, J. "Crime, law, and the community: Dynamics of incarceration in New York City", in *The Future of Imprisonment*, M. Tonry (ed) (New York: Oxford University Press, 2004).

Home Office Research development and Statistics Unit, 2010 *Economic and Social Costs of Crime*

Board of Management of Safer Blanchardstown

Phillip Keegan (Chairperson).....Greater Blanchardstown Response to Drugs

Natalie Treacy.....Community Representative (Hartstown/Huntstown)

Paul Donnelly.....Community Representative (Mountview/Blakestown)

John McGuinness.....Community Representative (Corduff)

Caroline Murphy.....Community Representative (Mulhuddart)

Inspector Tony TwomeyBlanchardstown Gardaí

Jim Doherty.....Blanchardstown Local Drugs Task Force

Liam BurkeFingal County Council

Cllr Mary McCamley.....Elected public representative

Cllr Jack ChambersElected public representative

Appendix 1

The Drug Related Intimidation Reporting Programme has been developed by the Garda National Drugs Unit and the National Family Support Network. The purpose of this programme is to respond to the needs of drug users and family members who are experiencing drug related intimidation through the provision of support, advice, information and assistance.

If you, or someone you know, are experiencing drug related intimidation you can, in complete confidence, contact:

National Family Support Network on: Tel (01) 836 5168
E-mail: info@fsn.ie
Web: www.fsn.ie

**Project Office, Garda National Drugs Unit,
Dublin Castle on:** Tel (01) 666 9900
Web: www.garda.ie

For further information contact Safer Blanchardstown on: Tel (01) 870 8494
E-mail Philip.jennings@fingalcoco.ie
Web: www.saferblanchardstown.com

Appendix 2



Drug Debt Intimidation Support Information

This program has been established by the Garda National Drugs Unit and the National Family Support Network.

The purpose of the programme is to respond to the needs of drug users and family members who are experiencing drug related intimidation

Inspectors have been selected by the Garda Commissioner and nominated to respond to the issue of drug debt related intimidation.

Lucan Garda station is where the nominated inspector for the Blanchardstown area is based.

When you make contact:

- Gardaí will provide practical safety information
- Provide advice in relation to particular threats or instances of intimidation
- Provide information on appropriate drug support services for the individual in the family who is accruing debts
- Outline how, if you wish, to make a formal complaint, what is involved, what happens after and possible outcomes

Deciding

The decision on whether or not to make a formal complaint is entirely up to the victim of intimidation

Your decision will be respected and supported by the Gardaí and family support worker.

Meeting with Gardaí

The inspector is more than willing to meet with you in a neutral venue and in plain clothes if you are uncomfortable or scared to meet at the Garda station, or to meet with the inspector in uniform.



Other supports you can rely on:

Blanchardstown Family Support Network
ADAPT Community Drug Team
Mulhuddart/Corduff Community Drug & Alcohol Team

Useful telephone numbers:

ADAPT Community Drug Team
01-8211385

Blanchardstown Local Drug and Alcohol Task Force
[REDACTED]

Mulhuddart/Corduff Community Drug & Alcohol Team
01-8216601

Blanchardstown Family Support
[REDACTED]

Safer Blanchardstown
Philip Jennings 01- 8708494

National Family Support Network
01-8365168

Blanchardstown Garda Station
01 6667000

Community Garda Unit
01 6667020

Garda National Drugs Unit
01-66699





Safer Blanchardstown

working together
for a safer community



**Comhairle Contae
Fhine Gall**
Fingal County
Council

